

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
9

10 REGINALD C. HOWARD,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 BRIAN CORNETT, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.
15

Case No. 2:11-CV-01402-KJD-GWF

ORDER

16 Presently before the Court are Plaintiff's Motions to Dispense with the Requirement of
17 Security, for a Temporary Restraining Order and a Preliminary Injunction (#24/25/26). Defendants
18 filed a response in opposition (#28) to which Plaintiff replied (#29). Also before the Court is
19 Plaintiff's Motion to Supplement Affidavits (#36). Since the Court will deny the motion even if the
20 affidavits are considered, the motion to supplement is denied as moot.

21 Temporary restraining orders and preliminary injunctions are governed by the same standard.
22 See Dumas v. Gommerman, 865 F.2d 1093, 1095 (9th Cir. 1989). To qualify for injunctive relief, a
23 plaintiff must demonstrate: (1) a likelihood of success on the merits; (2) a likelihood of irreparable
24 harm; (3) the balance of hardships favors plaintiff; and (4) an injunction is in the public interest. See
25 Winter v. Natural Res. Def. Council, 555 U.S. 7, 120 (2008); eBay Inc. v. MercExchange, L.L.C.,
26 547 U.S. 388 (2006).

1 The Court must deny Plaintiff's motion for several reasons. First, Plaintiff cannot show
2 likelihood of success on the merits because the action he seeks to enjoin is not related to the factual
3 allegations of his complaint. Though he alleges that he was harassed for bringing the present action,
4 he has not presented any evidence tying the incident he alleges occurred in the motion to the present
5 action. There is no link other than Plaintiff's supposition that they must be related. Second, Plaintiff
6 asks the Court to enjoin the actions of individuals who are not parties to the present action and are
7 not under the jurisdiction of the Court. Finally, though the actions as factually asserted may violate
8 Plaintiff's rights, he is under an obligation to exhaust his administrative remedies which Plaintiff
9 admits he has not done. Therefore, the Court finds that Plaintiff has not demonstrated a likelihood of
10 success on the merits.

11 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motions to Dispense with the
12 Requirement of Security, for a Temporary Restraining Order and a Preliminary Injunction
13 (#24/25/26) are **DENIED**;

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Supplement Affidavits (#36) is
15 **DENIED as moot**.

16 DATED this 14th day of December 2012.

17
18 

19 _____
20 Kent J. Dawson
21 United States District Judge
22
23
24
25
26